

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Group Art Unit: 1714

WOLFRAM SCHINDLER

Examiner: Patrick Dennis Niland

Serial No.: 10/524,473

Filed: October 28, 2005

For: Polymer Masses Based On Alkoxysilane-Terminated Polymers
Having A Regulatable Hardening Speed

Attorney Docket No.: WAS 0687 PUSA

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

In response to the Notice mailed December 12, 2007 regarding the omission of the IDS fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.17(p), we have herewith electronically transmitted the required fee of \$180.00.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978. A duplicate of this Transmittal Letter is enclosed for that purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Wolfram Schindler et al.

By: 
William G. Conger, Reg. No. 31,209
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: 12/19/2007
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400; Fax: 248-358-3351



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LOADED 17 16:11:01

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,473	10/28/2005	Wolfram Schindler	WAS0687PUSA	6921

EXAMINER	
NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/12/2007	PAPER

7590 12/12/2007
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 TOWN CENTER
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075

NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 11/27/07 in the above-identified application fails to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(d) for the reason(s) specified below. Accordingly, the IDS will be placed in the file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The IDS is not compliant with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because:

- ☐ The IDS lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e).
- ☒ The IDS lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p).
- ☐ The IDS was filed after the issue fee was paid. Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition to withdraw the application from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(c) to have the IDS considered. See MPEP 1308.

Charles Bowen